

Pursuant to Tax Court Rule 50(f), orders shall not be treated as precedent, except as otherwise provided.

UNITED STATES TAX COURT
WASHINGTON, DC 20217

ERIC SCOTT HANSON,)	
)	
Petitioner,)	CT
)	
v.)	Docket No. 22387-16S.
)	
COMMISSIONER OF INTERNAL REVENUE,)	
)	
Respondent)	

ORDER

This case was scheduled to be tried at the Court’s session in Columbia, South Carolina, beginning September 11, 2017. However, by order and decision entered August 30, 2017, the Court granted respondent’s motion to dismiss the case for the failure of petitioner Eric Scott Hansen to properly prosecute the case and entered decision against Mr. Hansen. (Thereafter, the Court cancelled the September 11 trial session because of Hurricane Irma’s anticipated arrival in Columbia on that date.)

On September 7, 2017, the undersigned judge’s Chambers Administrator learned by telephone that Mr. Hansen’s address has changed to P.O. Box 6382, Christiansburg, Virginia 24068, and that Mr. Hansen has not received recent filings and orders in this case. It was Mr. Hansen’s responsibility to keep the Court advised of his current address and telephone number, see Rule 24(b), and that any non-receipt by him of recent filings is his own fault.

We point out to Mr. Hansen that, under Rule 162, any motion by him to vacate the decision entered against him would be due to be filed no later than 30 days after the decision was entered on August 30, 2017—i.e., by no later than September 29, 2017.

It is

SERVED Sep 08 2017

ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court shall revise the Court's records to reflect Mr. Hanson's new address given in the second paragraph above. It is further

ORDERED that, along with the service of this order, the Clerk shall service Mr. Hanson with copies of the IRS's motion to dismiss filed July 27, 2017; the IRS's pretrial memorandum filed August 9, 2017; and our orders of July 27 and August 30, 2017.

**(Signed) David Gustafson
Judge**

Dated: Washington, D.C.
September 7, 2017