

Pursuant to Tax Court Rule 50(f), orders shall not be treated as precedent, except as otherwise provided.

UNITED STATES TAX COURT
WASHINGTON, DC 20217

DRC

CHARLES EASTERWOOD & ANN M.)
EASTERWOOD,)
)
Petitioners,)
)
v.) Docket No. 11485-17S.
)
COMMISSIONER OF INTERNAL REVENUE,)
)
Respondent)

ORDER

This case is calendared for trial at the April 6, 2020, Seattle, Washington, Trial Session of the Court. On January 21, 2020, the Court directed respondent, on or before February 11, 2020, to file a response to its Order attaching thereto the Notice of Deficiency issued to petitioners for tax years 2014 and 2015.

On January 23, 2020, petitioners' counsel electronically filed a Response To Order Dated 1/21/2020 (Index #0019). Attached to the response is a copy of the Notice of Deficiency issued to petitioners for tax years 2014 and 2015, on February 23, 2017. The Notice of Deficiency was not redacted to remove references to petitioners' taxpayer identification number. Tax Court Rule 27(a) generally provides that in an electronic or paper filing with the Court, a party or nonparty making the filing should refrain from including or should take appropriate steps to redact certain specified information, such as taxpayer identification numbers. It appears that the attached copy of petitioners' Notice of Deficiency is illegible, and was not properly redacted. Accordingly, the Court will strike petitioners' counsel's Response To Order Dated 1/21/2020 (Index #0019) from the record. Upon due consideration, it is

ORDERED that petitioners' counsel's Response To Order Dated 1/21/2020 (Index #0019), filed January 23, 2020, is hereby stricken from the record of this case. It is further

SERVED Jan 24 2020

ORDERED that respondent no longer needs to file a Response as directed by the Court's Order dated January 21, 2020.

**(Signed) Diana L. Leyden
Special Trial Judge**

Dated: Washington, D.C.
January 24, 2020