

UNITED STATES TAX COURT
WASHINGTON, DC 20217

DUNG T. LE & NGHIA T. TRAN,)	
)	
Petitioner(s),)	
)	
v.)	Docket No. 2108-16.
)	
COMMISSIONER OF INTERNAL REVENUE,)	
)	
Respondent)	

ORDER

This case was submitted on June 14, 2018, at the June 11, 2018, Omaha, Nebraska Trial Session of the Court. The parties filed with the Court a Stipulation of Facts, First Supplemental Stipulation of Facts, and a Second Supplemental Stipulation of Facts with their respective exhibits at the trial session.

Pursuant to Tax Court Rule 91(b), “Exhibits attached to a stipulation shall be numbered serially; i.e., 1, 2, 3, etc. The exhibit number shall be followed by “P” if offered by the petitioner, e.g., 1-P; “R” if offered by the respondent, e.g., 2-R; or “J” if joint, e.g., 3-J.” The exhibits attached to the Stipulation of Facts, First Supplemental Stipulation of Facts, and Second Supplemental Stipulation of Facts were not properly marked in accordance with Tax Court Rule 91(b). The parties’ exhibits were only separated by tab inserts. The exhibits attached to the Court’s electronic versions of the Stipulation of Facts, First Supplemental Stipulation of Facts, and Second Supplemental Stipulation of Facts, are not identifiable.

It has come to the Court’s attention that portions of the exhibits were not redacted. The parties are reminded to comply with Tax Court Rule 27(a), on Redacted Filings.

SERVED Jul 10 2018

DUNG T. LE & NGHIA T. TRAN V. COMMISSIONER
Docket No. 2108-16

After due consideration, it is

ORDERED that the parties file an Amended Stipulation of Facts, First Supplemental Stipulation of Facts, and Second Supplemental Stipulation of Facts with properly redacted and marked exhibits according to Tax Court Rules.

(Signed) Elizabeth Crewson Paris
Judge

Dated: Washington, D.C.
July 10, 2018